Conservations Measures from the 2007-2008 AMP Biological Opinions



AMWG Meeting, Phoenix, Arizona May 22, 2007

Humpback Chub Consultation Trigger – Reclamation and FWS agree to specifically define a section 7 reinitiation trigger relative to humpback chub, in part, as being exceeded if the population of adult humpback chub (≥200 mm TL) in Grand Canyon declines significantly, or, if in any single year, based on the age-structured mark recapture model (ASMR; Coggins 2007), the population drops below 3,500 adult fish within the 95 percent confidence interval. This number was derived as a conservative approach to preventing the population from declining to the minimum viable population size for humpback chub, estimated to be 2,100 adult fish (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2002a), with consideration for a buffer and acknowledging the variance inherent in the ASMR resulting from age estimation based on recent results from this model (Coggins 2007). If the population of humpback chub declines to this level, Reclamation and FWS will consider appropriate actions through reinitiated section 7 consultation, for example, extending the period of steady releases to include July and August. Conversely, if the population of humpback chub expands significantly, FWS and Reclamation will consider the potential for reinitiation of consultation to determine if steady flows continue to be necessary.

Comprehensive Plan for the Management and Conservation of Humpback Chub in Grand Canyon –

Reclamation will continue to work with AMP cooperators to develop a comprehensive approach to management of humpback chub. Reclamation has committed to specific conservation measures in this biological opinion, but will also consider funding and implementing other actions not identified here to implement the plan.

Humpback Chub Translocation –

In coordination with other Department of the Interior (DOI) AMP participants and through the AMP, Reclamation will assist NPS and the AMP in funding and implementation of translocation of humpback chub into tributaries of the Colorado River in Marble and Grand canyons, including nonnative control in tributaries, as necessary. Chute Falls (LCR), Havasu, Shinumo and Bright Angel creeks will initially be targeted for translocation, although other tributaries may be considered. Reclamation will work with FWS, NPS and other cooperators to develop translocation plans for each of these streams, utilizing existing information available, including genetic assessments.

Nonnative Fish Control –

As first presented in the biological opinion on the Shortage Guidelines, Reclamation will, in coordination with other DOI AMP participants and through the AMP, continue efforts to assist NPS and the AMP in control of both cold- and warm-water nonnative fish species in both the mainstem of Marble and Grand canyons and in their tributaries, including determining and implementing levels of nonnative fish control as necessary. Because Reclamation predicts that dam releases will be cool to cold during the period of the proposed action, control of nonnative trout may be particularly important. Control of these species will utilize mechanical removal, similar to recent efforts by the AMP, and may utilize other methods, to help to reduce this threat. GCMRC is preparing a nonnative fish control plan through the AMP process that addresses both cold and warm-water species that will further guide implementation of this conservation measure.

Humpback Chub Nearshore Ecology Study –

In coordination with other DOI AMP participants and through the AMP, Reclamation will implement a nearshore ecology study that will relate river flow variables to ecological attributes of nearshore habitats (velocity, depth, temperature, productivity, etc.) and the relative importance of such habitat conditions to important life stages of native and nonnative fishes. This study will incorporate planned science activities for evaluating the high flow test on nearshore habitats as well as the 5-year period of steady flow releases in September and October. The plan will include monitoring of sufficient intensity to ensure significant relationships can be established, as acceptable to the FWS. The feasibility and effectiveness of marking small humpback chub (<150 and <100 mm TL [5.91 and 3.93 in]) will also be evaluated.

Monthly Flow Transition Study –

Transitions between monthly flow volumes can often result in drastic changes to nearshore habitats. For example, past transitions from August to September in some years have consisted of a transition from a lower limit of 10,000 cfs in August to an upper limit of 10,000 cfs in September. Such a transition results in a river stage level that is below the varial zone of the previous month's flow, and may be detrimental to fishes and food base for fish. Reclamation has committed to adjusting daily flows between months to attempt to attenuate these transitions such that they are more gradual, and to studying the biological effects of these transitions, in particular to humpback chub. If possible, Reclamation will work to adjust September and October monthly flow volumes to achieve improved conditions for young-of-year, juvenile, and adult humpback chub, as acceptable to the FWS.

Humpback Chub Refuge –

Once appropriate planning documents are in place, and refuge populations of humpback chub are created (as a conservation measure of the Shortage Guidelines biological opinion), Reclamation will assist FWS in maintenance of a humpback chub refuge population at a Federal hatchery or other appropriate facility by providing funding to assist in annual maintenance. In case of a catastrophic loss of the Grand Canyon population of humpback chub, a humpback chub refuge will provide a permanent source of sufficient numbers of genetically representative stock for repatriating the species. This action would also be an important step toward attaining recovery.

Little Colorado River Watershed Planning -

Reclamation will continue its efforts to help other stakeholders in the Little Colorado River watershed develop watershed planning efforts, with consideration for watershed level effects to the humpback chub in Grand Canyon.

Kanab Ambersnail Habitat Protection –

Reclamation will, through the AMP, temporarily remove and safe-guard all Kanab ambersnails found in the zone that would be inundated during the high flow test, as well as approximately 15 percent (17 m2 [180 ft2]) of the Kanab ambersnail habitat that would be flooded by the experimental high flow test. The ambersnails would be released above the inundation zone, and habitat would be held locally above the level of inundation until the high flow test has ended (approximately 60 hours). Habitat will be replaced in a manner that will facilitate regrowth of vegetation. Subsequent monitoring of this conservation measure will be coordinated with GCMRC.

Humpback Chub

Nonnative Fish Control –

In coordination with other DOI AMP participants and through the AMP, Reclamation will continue efforts to control both cold- and warm-water nonnative fish species in the mainstem of Marble and Grand canyons, including determining and implementing levels of nonnative fish control as necessary. Control of these species using mechanical removal and other methods will help to reduce this threat.

Humpback Chub

Humpback Chub Refuge –

Reclamation will assist FWS in development and funding of a broodstock management plan and creation and maintenance of a humpback chub refuge population at a Federal hatchery or other appropriate facility by providing expedited advancement of \$200,000 in funding to the FWS during CY 2008; this amount shall be funded from, and within, the amount identified in the MSCP BO (FWS 2005a; page 26). Creation of a humpback chub refuge will reduce or eliminate the potential for a catastrophic loss of the Grand Canyon population of humpback chub by providing a permanent source of genetically representative stock for repatriating the species.

Humpback Chub

Genetic Biocontrol Symposium –

Reclamation will transfer up to \$20,000 in fiscal year 2008 to FWS to help fund an international symposium on the use and development of genetic biocontrol of nonnative invasive aquatic species which is tentatively scheduled for October 2009. Although only in its infancy, genetic biocontrol of nonnative species is attracting worldwide attention as a potential method of controlling aquatic invasive species. Helping fund an effort to bring researchers together will further awareness of this potential method of control and help mobilize efforts for its research and development.

Humpback Chub

Sediment Research -

In coordination with other DOI AMP participants and through the AMP, Reclamation will monitor the effect of sediment transport on humpback chub habitat and will work with the GCMRC to develop and implement a scientific monitoring plan acceptable to FWS. Although the effects of dam operation-related changes in sediment transport on humpback chub habitat are not well understood, humpback chub are known to utilize backwaters and other habitat features that require fine sediment for their formation and maintenance. Additional research will help clarify this relationship.

Humpback Chub

Parasite Monitoring –

In coordination with other DOI AMP participants and through the AMP, Reclamation will continue to support research on the effects of Asian tapeworm (Bothriocephalus acheilognathi) on humpback chub and potential methods to control this parasite. Continuing research will help better understand the degree of this threat and the potential for management actions to minimize it.

Kanab Ambersnail

Monitoring and Research –

Through the AMP, Reclamation will continue to monitor Kanab ambersnail and its habitat in Grand Canyon and the effect of dam releases on the species, and Reclamation will also continue to assist FWS in funding morphometric and genetic research to better determine the taxonomic status of the subspecies.

Southwestern Willow Flycatcher

Monitoring and Research –

Through the AMP, Reclamation will continue to monitor southwestern willow flycatcher and its habitat and the effect of dam releases on the species throughout Grand Canyon and report findings to FWS, and will work with the National Park Service (NPS) and other AMP participants to identify actions to conserve the flycatcher.